ORAL PRESENTATION PEER EVALUATION FORM

Speaker _____   Date _____

With a check mark indicate your rating of the presentation below on a scale of Always (1), Usually (2), Sometimes (3), or Never (4) for each of the following criteria.

		1	2	3	4
1.	Introduction provided sufficient background to provide context for purpose	( )	( )	( )	( )
2.	Purpose statement clearly presented	( )	( )	( )	( )
3.	Relationship between methods and purpose statement clear	( )	( )	( )	( )
4.	Methods sufficiently explained to understand the data presented	( )	( )	( )	( )
5.	Figures/Tables sufficiently large to be understood	( )	( )	( )	( )
6.	Axes of figures clearly explained	( )	( )	( )	( )
7.	Data within figures clearly explained	( )	( )	( )	( )
8.	Data from tables clearly explained	( )	( )	( )	( )
9.	A conclusion drawn from each figure or table	( )	( )	( )	( )
10.	General conclusions supported by data presented	( )	( )	( )	( )
11.	Conclusions related to purpose statement	( )	( )	( )	( )
12.	No distracting mannerisms	( )	( )	( )	( )
13.	Voice sufficiently clear and loud	( )	( )	( )	( )
14.	Eye contact maintained	( )	( )	( )	( )
15.	Conveyed confidence in understanding material	( )	( )	( )	( )
16.	Provided operational definitions of terms	( )	( )	( )	( )
17.	Made effective use of technology	( )	( )	( )	( )

18.   Briefly describe two of the greatest strengths of the presentation

19.   Briefly describe the two greatest weaknesses of the presentation

Evaluator _____

Developed by the faculty of the Department of Molecular Biology, Biochemistry, and Bioinformatics, Towson University